# 1992 NASA/ASEE SUMMER FACULTY FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM

# JOHN F. KENNEDY SPACE CENTER UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA

#### KINEMATIC ANALYSIS OF THE ARID MANIPULATOR

PREPARED BY:

Dr. Keith L. Doty

**ACADEMIC RANK:** 

Professor

UNIVERSITY AND DEPARTMENT:

University of Florida

Department of Electrical Engineering

NASA/KSC

**DIVISION:** 

Mechanical Engineering Directorate

BRANCH:

Special Projects (RADL)

NASA COLLEAGUE:

Willis Crumpler Bill Jones

Eduardo Lopez

DATE:

August 7, 1992

**CONTRACT NUMBER:** 

University of Central Florida NASA-NGT-60002 Supplement: 8

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The author gratefully acknowledges the support of the University of Central Florida faculty and staff along with the Boeing and NASA staffs whose diligence and kindness make the NASA Faculty Fellowship program at the Kennedy Space Center so efficient and productive. Particular thanks to Willis Crumpler, Bill Jones, Eduardo Lopez, Gabor Tamasi, Todd Graham and Carol Valdez of NASA; Ron Remus, Joe Looney, Jose Lago and Brian Yamauchi of Boeing and Loren Anderson and Kari Stiles of the University of Central Florida. A special thanks to my office mate, Carl Latino of Oklahoma State University, for stimulating discussions and all-around good fellowship.

#### **ABSTRACT**

This paper develops the forward and inverse position kinematics of the ARID manipulator and also its forward velocity kinematics.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION
2.	ARID FORWARD KINEMATICS
3.	ARID END FRAME JACOBIAN
	The Tool-Center-Point.
4.	ARID INVERSE POSE KINEMATICS
	Solving for the Sum of the Three Revolute Joint Angles
	Solving for the Prismatic Displacement of Joint One
	Solving for the Angle of Joint Three
	Solving for the Angle of Joint Two
	ARID Robot Inverse Pose Kinematic Summary
5.	CONCLUSION
	EFERENCES
1/1	

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The kinematic structure of the ARID manipulator lends itself to simple forward and inverse kinematics analysis. The purpose of this paper is to fully document and verify an existing analysis. The symbolic software package MATHEMATICA was used to produced and verify the equations presented here. In the analysis to follow, the standard Devenit-Hartenberg kinematic parameters of the ARID were employed.

#### ARID FORWARD KINEMATICS

Table 2.1 lists the Devenit-Hartenberg kinematic parameters for the ARID robot.

Table 2.1: Kinematic Parameters for the ARID Robot							
Joint		d	θ	a	α	Joint Limits	
1	p	dı	$\theta_1$	a <sub>1</sub>	0°	[Oinches, 718inches]	
2	r	0	$\theta_2$	a <sub>2</sub>	0°	[4°, 112°]	
3	r	0	$\theta_3$	a <sub>3</sub>	0°	[102°, 148°]	
4	<u> </u>	0	A.	10	U <sub>0</sub>	[-16° -117°]	

Table 2.2 lists the nominal values of the link lengths and the fixed angle  $\theta_1$ . The distance  $1 + a_4$  computes the tool-end-point along the x-axis of the tool-frame whose origin is located at the flange.

From the DH-parameters of the ARID robot listed in Table 2.1, the four link transforms compute to

$$\mathbf{L}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{1} & -s_{1} & 0 & a_{1} & c_{1} \\ s_{1} & c_{1} & 0 & a_{1} & s_{1} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & d_{1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{L}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{2} & -s_{2} & 0 & a_{2} & c_{2} \\ s_{2} & c_{2} & 0 & a_{2} & s_{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{3} & -s_{3} & 0 & a_{3} c_{3} \\ s_{3} & c_{3} & 0 & a_{3} s_{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{L}_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{4} & -s_{4} & 0 & 0 \\ s_{4} & c_{4} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. (2-1)$$

Table 2.2 Nominal Values of ARID Kinematic Parameters

Table 2.2 Nominal Values of AND Killematic Parameters					
Parameter	Theoretical Value	Measured Value	Units		
a <sub>1</sub>	$\sqrt{66.37^2 + 48.28^2}$	82.3	inches		
	= 82.0727				
a <sub>2</sub>	45.00	45	inches		
a <sub>3</sub>	35.00	35	inches		
1 + a <sub>4</sub>	·	35.75	inches		
s <sub>1</sub>	$\frac{48.28}{a_1} = 0.588259$				
c <sub>1</sub>	$\frac{66.37}{a_1} = 0.808673$				
$\theta_1$	36.0335°	35.2053°	Degrees		

The forward kinematics transform of the ARID equals

$${}^{0}\mathbf{T_{4}} = \mathbf{L_{1}} \; \mathbf{L_{2}} \; \mathbf{L_{3}} \; \mathbf{L_{4}}$$
 (2-2)

which reduces to

$${}^{0}\mathbf{T}_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{1234} & -s_{1234} & 0 & \mathbf{a}_{1} & \mathbf{c}_{1} + \mathbf{a}_{2} & \mathbf{c}_{12} + \mathbf{a}_{3} & \mathbf{c}_{123} \\ s_{1234} & c_{1234} & 0 & \mathbf{a}_{1} & \mathbf{s}_{1} + \mathbf{a}_{2} & \mathbf{s}_{12} + \mathbf{a}_{3} & \mathbf{s}_{123} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \mathbf{d}_{1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (2-3)

If the ARID axes are not parallel, this model will produce erroneous results. A second paper will address the development of a error-model for the ARID kinematics.

#### 3. ARID END FRAME JACOBIAN

The Jacobian of the ARID relates the joint-rates  $\dot{\mathbf{q}} = [\dot{\mathbf{d}}_1 \quad \dot{\theta}_2 \quad \dot{\theta}_3 \quad \dot{\theta}_4]^{\tau}$  to the frame-velocity  $\mathbf{V} = [\mathbf{v}^{\tau} \quad \omega^{\tau}]^{\tau}$  of the end-frame,

$$\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{J} \, \dot{\mathbf{q}}. \tag{3-1}$$

The Jacobian of the ARID computes to

The leading superscript 4 means that this Jacobian is expressed in frame  $F_4$  while the 0 indicates the motion is that of the end-frame relative to the base frame  $F_0$  of the ARID.

#### The Tool-Center-Point

The tool-center-point vector (tcp), expressed in the end-effector-frame  $F_4$  for this robot, equals, by definition,

$$^{4}$$
tcp =  $[24 inches 0 0]^{\tau} = 24 x.$  (3-3)

Hence, the base-frame expression of the tool-center-point vector equals

$$\begin{bmatrix} {}^{0}tcp \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = H \begin{bmatrix} {}^{4}tcp \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{3-4}$$

The ARID base-frame expression of the tcp position, therefore, equals

$$^{0}tcp = p + R^{4}tcp. (3-5)$$

The ARID base-frame velocity of the tcp, therefore, equals

$$\mathbf{v}_{tcp} = \dot{\mathbf{p}} + \omega \times \mathbf{R}^{4} tc\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{R}(4.0\mathbf{v}_{4} + 4.0\omega_{4} \times 24 \mathbf{x}),$$
 (3-6)

where

$$4.0\mathbf{V}_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} 4.0\mathbf{v}_{4} \\ 4.0\mathbf{\omega}_{4} \end{bmatrix} = 4.0\mathbf{J}_{4} \,\mathbf{\dot{q}}. \tag{3-7}$$

In general, the middle expression in (3-6) can be used to compute the velocity of any point fixed in the end-frame  $F_4$ .

# 4. ARID INVERSE POSE KINEMATICS

Specify the pose of the end-effector frame at the ARID flange by the homogeneous matrix H,

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} n_x & b_x & t_x & p_x \\ n_y & b_y & t_y & p_y \\ n_z & b_z & t_z & p_z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(4-1)

where  $\mathbf{p} = [p_x \ p_y \ p_z]^{\tau}$  is the position of the flange center-point and

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{n_x} & \mathbf{b_x} & \mathbf{t_x} \\ \mathbf{n_y} & \mathbf{b_y} & \mathbf{t_y} \\ \mathbf{n_z} & \mathbf{b_z} & \mathbf{t_z} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4-2)

is the rotation matrix that rotates the base-frame of the robot into a frame parallel to the tool-frame. Equivalently, R transforms a vector expressed in the end-effector-frame coordinates into the same vector expressed in the robot base-frame coordinates. The inverse position kinematics problem is to solve for the joint variables given H. This means solving the matrix equation

$${}^{0}\mathbf{T}_{4} = \mathbf{H} \tag{4-3}$$

# Solving for the Sum of the Three Revolute Joint Angles

Unless R a rotation about the z-axis,  $t_z = 1$ , no joint variable set will satisfy (4-3). Given that  $t_z = 1$ ,  ${}^{0}T_{4[1,1]} = H_{[1,1]}$ ,  ${}^{0}T_{4[2,1]} = H_{[2,1]}$  implies

$$c_{1234} = n_x$$
 and  $s_{1234} = n_y$ . (4-4)

Hence,

$$\theta_2 + \theta_3 + \theta_4 = atan2[n_y, n_x] - \theta_1. \tag{4-5}$$

The known value of  $\theta_1$  in Table 2.2 provides the additional information to compute the sum of the last three joint angles.

# Solving for the Prismatic Displacement of Joint One

The value of the prismatic joint follows immediately from  ${}^{0}\mathbf{T}_{4}$  [3,4] =  $\mathbf{H}$ [3,4],

$$p_{\tau} = d_1.$$
 (4-6)

Next, we solve for  $\theta_3$ .

# Solving for the Angle of Joint Three

Define the known, translated position vector  $\tilde{\mathbf{p}} = [\tilde{\mathbf{p}}_{x} \quad \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_{y} \quad \tilde{\mathbf{p}}_{z}]^{\mathsf{T}}$ ,

$$\tilde{p}_x := p_x - a_1 c_1, \quad \tilde{p}_y := p_y - a_1 s_1, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{p}_z := p_z \quad (4-7)$$

The equalities  ${}^{0}\mathbf{T}_{4}[1,4] = \mathbf{H}[1,4]$  and  ${}^{0}\mathbf{T}_{4}[2,4] = \mathbf{H}[2,4]$  imply

$$\tilde{p}_{x} = a_{3} c_{123} + a_{2} c_{12} \tag{4-8}$$

$$\tilde{p}_{v} = a_3 s_{123} + a_2 s_{12} \tag{4-9}$$

The previous two equations constitute the well-known elbow equations. Squaring both equations and adding yields the kinematic equation

$$\widetilde{p}_{x}^{2} + \widetilde{p}_{y}^{2} - a_{2}^{2} - a_{3}^{2} 
c_{3} = \frac{2 a_{2} a_{3}}{2 a_{2} a_{3}} ,$$
(4-10)

which has two solutions,

$$\theta_3 = \pm atan2[\sqrt{1 - c_3^2, c_3}],$$
 (4-11)

or, equivalently,

$$\theta_3 = \pm \cos^{-1} \left[ \frac{\tilde{p}_x^2 + \tilde{p}_y^2 - a_2^2 - a_3^2}{2 a_2 a_3} \right], \tag{4-12}$$

as long as the constraint

$$\tilde{p}_{x}^{2} + \tilde{p}_{y}^{2} - a_{2}^{2} - a_{3}^{2}$$

$$-1 \le \frac{2 \cdot a_{2} \cdot a_{3}}{2 \cdot a_{2} \cdot a_{3}} \le 1, \tag{4-13}$$

or, equivalently,

$$(a_2 - a_3)^2 \le \tilde{p}_x^2 + \tilde{p}_y^2 \le (a_2 + a_3)^2,$$
 (4-14)

is satisfied.

Equation (4-14) expresses the geometric constraints that the manipulator cannot reach out past the sum of its link lengths and no closer than the difference. The reachable work space, is contained in an envelope consisting of an annular ring with inner radius of  $|a_2 - a_3|$  and outer radius of  $a_2 + a_3$ . When the end-point is on the inner radius,  $\cos \theta_3 = -I$  and on the outer boundary,  $\cos \theta_3 = I$ . On these boundaries there is only one solution for  $\cos \theta_3$  in (4-12). The constraints on  $\theta_3$  prevents the ARID robot from reaching either the inner or outer boundaries. No position in the ARID work space violates either conditions. In the workspace on the orbiter, only the positive solution  $\theta_3 > 0$  is considered.

# Solving for the Angle of Joint Two

With joint angle  $\theta_3$  determined,  $\theta_2$  can be computed from (4-8) and (4-9) by expanding the trigonometric terms using the sum of angles formulas and collecting the  $s_2$  and  $c_2$  terms to form the simultaneous equations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{p}_{x} \\ \widetilde{p}_{y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -a_{3} \cdot s_{3} & a_{2} + a_{3} \cdot c_{3} \\ a_{2} + a_{3} \cdot c_{3} & a_{3} \cdot s_{3} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} s_{2} \\ c_{2} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{4-15}$$

Relation (4-15) is a linear algebraic system of equations in  $s_2$  and  $c_2$ . Solutions exist to (4-15) provided the determinant of the matrix is non-zero,

$$\begin{vmatrix} -a_3 \cdot s_3 & a_2 + a_3 \cdot c_3 \\ a_2 + a_3 \cdot c_3 & a_3 \cdot s_3 \end{vmatrix} = -[a_2^2 + a_3^2 - 2 \cdot a_2 \cdot a_3 \cos(\pi - \theta_3)] = -[\tilde{p}_x^2 + \tilde{p}_y^2] \neq 0$$

Thus, as long as the position vector  $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}$  of the end-frame has at least one of its planar components non-zero,  $\tilde{p}_x^2 + \tilde{p}_y^2 \neq 0$ , the system of equations (4-15) is invertible,

$$\begin{bmatrix} s_2 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{-1}{\widetilde{p}_x^2 + \widetilde{p}_y^2} \begin{bmatrix} a_3 \cdot s_3 & -a_2 - a_3 \cdot c_3 \\ -a_2 - a_3 \cdot c_3 & -a_3 \cdot s_3 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{p}_x \\ \widetilde{p}_y \end{bmatrix}$$
(4-16)

One cannot be sure that (4-16) is valid unless,  $s_2^2 + c_2^2 = 1$ . This constraint can be proven to be satisfied whenever a solution to  $\theta_3$  exists. Finally, to obtain  $\theta_2$ , compute

$$\theta_2 = \operatorname{atan2} \left[ -a_3 \cdot s_3 \cdot \widetilde{p}_x + (a_2 + a_3 \cdot c_3) \cdot \widetilde{p}_y, (a_2 + a_3 \cdot c_3) \cdot \widetilde{p}_x + a_3 \cdot s_3 \cdot \widetilde{p}_y \right]. (4-17)$$

Each value of  $\theta_3$  in (4-17) determines a unique value of  $\theta_2$ . In the ARID robot only the positive solution for  $\theta_3$  is used, hence, only one value of  $\theta_2$  requires computing.

# ARID Robot Inverse Pose Kinematic Summary

Table 4.1 summarizes the inverse kinematics analysis for the ARID robot. The first column supplies the equations needed to solve for the joint variables in terms of the configuration variables and the DH-parameters. The second column states the natural kinematic constraints which must be satisfied in order for the solution to be valid. Natural kinematic constraints indicate geometric relationships between and amongst the DH-parameters and configuration variables that must be satisfied, independent of joint range limits or link interference. For purposes of theoretical analysis, therefore, joint variables range over all the real numbers. In the ARID, for example, the solution  $d_1 = p_z$  is always theoretically valid, even though the solution is not realizable when  $p_z$  exceeds the physical length of the robot track. The inverse solutions to the ARID manipulator that satisfy the natural kinematic constraints must be checked to determine if the computed values of the joint variables fall within the physical joint limits dictated for the ARID. Those solutions which do satisfy the joint limits are said to be realizable by the manipulator. Refer to Table 2.2 for joint limits of the ARID robot.

Table 4.1 Inverse Solution to the ARID Manipulator

Joint Variable Solution	Theoretical Kinematic Constraints for Solution
$d_1 = p_z$	None
$\theta_{3} = \pm \cos^{-1} \left[ \frac{\tilde{p}_{x}^{2} + \tilde{p}_{y}^{2} - a_{2}^{2} - a_{3}^{2}}{2 \cdot a_{2} \cdot a_{3}} \right]$	$(a_2 - a_3)^2 \le \tilde{p}_x^2 + \tilde{p}_y^2 \le (a_2 + a_3)^2$
$\theta_2 = \operatorname{atan2} \left[ -a_3 \cdot s_3 \cdot \widetilde{p}_x + (a_2 + a_3 \cdot c_3) \cdot \widetilde{p}_y, \right. \\ \left. \left( a_2 + a_3 \cdot c_3 \right) \cdot \widetilde{p}_x + a_3 \cdot s_3 \cdot \widetilde{p}_y \right]$	,
$\theta_4 = \operatorname{atan2} [n_y, n_x] - (\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3)$	$t_z = 1$

### 5. CONCLUSION

The nominal ARID forward position and velocity kinematics have been developed, as well as the forward velocity kinematics. A follow-up paper will develop a kinematics error-model for calibrating the ARID.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Doty, K.L [1992] Class Notes.
- 2. Paul, R.P [1981], Robot Manipulator: Mathematics, Programming and Control, MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass.